

EFFECT OF AN ACCRETION DISK ON THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVE SIGNAL FROM AN INSPIRALLING BINARY

SANDIP K. CHAKRABARTI

S.N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, JD-Block, Salt Lake, Calcutta 700098

E-mail: chakraba@boson.bose.res.in

Since black holes can only accrete sub-Keplerian matter, a companion black hole orbiting on a circular and instantaneously Keplerian orbit around a central, massive black hole in a galactic Centre will lose angular momentum and energy to the accreting matter. This loss could be a significant fraction of the loss due to gravitational wave (GW) emission. The corresponding GW signal would be modified. We discuss these effects in the light of the modern accretion disk theory.

Proceedings of the 9th Marcel Grossman Meeting (Ed. R. Ruffini)

Centres of galaxies are thought to contain massive or supermassive black holes which accrete matter from tidally disrupted stars orbiting close to the Centre. By causality argument, since velocity of sound computed from any reasonable equation of state is less than that of light, matter must enter black holes supersonically and therefore the flow must be sub-Keplerian close to the black hole horizon even when heating and cooling processes are present^{1,2}. When a stellar black hole or a neutron star comes close to the central massive black hole, and at the same time orbits in an instantaneously Keplerian orbit on the equatorial plane, it invariably interacts with this sub-Keplerian accretion disk. Since the ratio of the mass of the central (primary of mass $M_1 \sim 10^6$ to $10^{10} M_\odot$) and the orbiting (companion of mass $M_2 \sim 10 M_\odot$) black hole is very large, typically close to a million and much more, matter close to the Eddington rate for the primary $\dot{M}_{Edd,1}$ must be a few million times the Eddington rate compared to the secondary. Therefore, it is expected that the secondary would be *overwhelmed* with sub-Keplerian matter and its accretion rate would be as large as possible. Even with efficiency of 0.06 for a Schwarzschild black hole, one could assume a sub-Keplerian accretion rate of $\dot{M}_2 \sim 16 \dot{M}_{Edd,2}$ onto the companion. This slowly moving matter would drag the companion and would slow it down. We compute this effect as follows^{3,1}:

The rate of loss of energy dE/dt in this binary system with orbital period P (in hours) is given by^{4,5}

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = 3 \times 10^{33} \left(\frac{\mu}{M_\odot} \right)^2 \left(\frac{M_{tot}}{M_\odot} \right)^{4/3} \left(\frac{P}{1hr} \right)^{-10/3} \text{ergs sec}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where, $\mu = \frac{M_1 M_2}{M_1 + M_2}$ and $M_{tot} = M_1 + M_2$. The orbital angular momentum loss rate would be, $R_{gw} = \frac{dL}{dt}|_{gw} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{dE}{dt}$, where, $\Omega = \sqrt{GM_1/r^3}$ is the Keplerian angular velocity of the secondary black hole with mean orbiting radius r . The subscript 'gw' indicates that the rate is due to gravitational wave emission. Matter from the disk with local specific angular momentum $l(r)$ will be accreted onto the companion close to its Bondi accretion rate⁶,

$$\dot{M}_2 = \frac{4\pi \bar{\lambda} \rho (GM_2)^2}{(v_{rel}^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} \quad (3)$$

where, ρ is the density of disk matter, $\bar{\lambda}$ is a constant of order unity (which we choose to be 1/2 for the rest of the paper), $v_{rel} = v_{disk} - v_{Kep}$ is the relative velocity of matter between the disk and the orbiting companion. The rate at which angular momentum of the companion will be changed due to Bondi accretion will be³, $R_{disk} = \frac{dL}{dt}|_{disk} = \dot{M}_2[l_{Kep}(x) - l_{disk}(x)]$. Here, l_{Kep} and l_{disk} are the local Keplerian and disk angular momenta respectively. In sub-Keplerian ($l_{disk} < l_{Kep}$) region, the effect of the disk would be to reduce the angular momentum of the companion further and hasten coalescence. If some region of the disk is super-Keplerian, the companion will gain angular momentum due to accretion, and the coalescence is slowed down.

To check if this effect is important, we consider a special case where, $M_2 \ll M_1$ and $l_{disk} \ll l_{Kep}$. In this case, $\mu \sim M_2$ and $M_{tot} \sim M_1$. The ratio R of these two rates is,

$$R = \frac{R_{disk}}{R_{gw}} = 1.518 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\rho_{10}}{T_{10}^{3/2}} x^4 M_8^2.$$

Here, x is the companion orbit radius in units of the Schwarzschild radius of the primary, M_8 is in units of $10^8 M_\odot$, ρ_{10} is the density in units of $10^{-10} \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ and T_{10} is the temperature of the disk in units of 10^{10} K . It is clear that, for instance, at $x = 10$, and $M_8 = 10$, the ratio $R \sim 0.015$. Thus the effect is significant⁷. The ratio R is independent of the mass of the companion compact object (black hole or a neutron star), as long as $M_2 \ll M_1$. It is clear from our computation that accretion onto the primary should be significant in order that the effect is large. If the accretion rate is very low (say, $10^{-5} \dot{M}_{Edd,1}$) the effect is negligible. In that case, one runs the risk of creating ‘gaps’ (like Saturn’s ring) in the accretion disk. We do not discuss this case here.

We note that not only the signals of the gravitational waves could be used to obtain the system parameters, such as the masses of the binary system and the accretion rates, a simultaneous measurement of the electromagnetic spectrum from the accretion disk which also contains information of the mass of the primary, its accretion rate and distance (from the luminosity of the big blue bump) may produce an *over-determined* system which then would be used to verify Einstein’s theory of gravity in every detail. We hope the effect we described here could be used to prepare better templates and to reveal secrets of quasars and active galaxies and their environments.

References

1. S.K. Chakrabarti, *Astrophys. J.*, 464, 664 (1996).
2. S.K. Chakrabarti, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **243**, 610 (1990); *Theory of Transonic Astrophysical Flows* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990)
3. S.K. Chakrabarti, *Astrophys. J.* **411**, 610 (1993).
4. P.C. Peters and J. Matthews, *Phys. Rev.*, **131**, 435 (1963)
5. K.R. Lang, *Astrophysical Formula*, (Springer Verlag, New York, 1980).
6. H. Bondi, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **112**, 195 (1952).
7. S.K. Chakrabarti, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 2901 (1996)